1 2 3 4 5	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (12/5/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/21/2024) UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/13/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (10/17/2024) UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (9/26/2024)				
6	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (8/13/2024)				
7 8	UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (7/15/24) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON PROPOSAL (4/18/2024)				
9	UNIVERSITT OF OREGON PROPOSAL $(4/10/2024)$				
10	Document Key				
11	UA new UA deletion UO new UO deletion Accepted Deleted Status Quo Restored				
12					
13	[UO Trade: 10 vs. 14 days for a faculty member to provide a review response. UO can agree to				
14	go from 10 days to 14 days in Section 15, 16, 24, 31, 35, & 36 in exchange for moving the				
15	decision due date to June 15 from June 1 in Section 17 & 21. Current proposal reflects 10 days				
16	and maintains June 1.]				
17 18	United Academics modification of trade: We agree to an extension to 14 days in Section 15,				
18 19	16, 24, 31, 35 & 36 and moving the decision due date to June 5 in Section 17 & 21.)				
20	10, 24, 51, 55 & 50 and moving the decision due date to June 5 in Section 17 & 21.)				
20 21					
22	ARTICLE 20. TENURE REVIEW AND PROMOTION				
23					
24	Section 1. This Article applies only to bargaining unit faculty members in the Tenure-Track and				
25	Tenured classification. Tenure is in the University, and not in a college, school, department,				
26					
27	communicated in writing to the bargaining unit faculty member and signed by the Provost. There				
28	is no de facto tenure. Tenure means that the bargaining unit faculty member's employment may				
29	be terminated only for cause (Article 24), or in case of program eliminations or reductions				
30 21	(Article 25).				
31 32	Section 2. Standards and Guidelines. The University follows the same general timetable,				
33	process, and standards of performance for evaluation and promotion as do many other public				
34	research universities, particularly AAU institutions. The University also considers AAUP				
35	guidelines for tenure review and promotion. All department or unit review guidelines shall be				
36	established and revised by the processes set out in Article 4.				
37					
38					
39	Reviews				
40					
41 42	Section 3. Reviews for bargaining unit faculty members in the Tenure-Track and Tenured				
42 43	classification will consist of (1) annual reviews for faculty not holding tenure; (2) mid-term reviews between appointment and tenure review for the faculty without tenure; (3) tenure and				
43 44	promotion reviews; (4) third-year post-tenure reviews for tenured faculty in the third year				
45	following: [inserted list formatting for clarity]				
46	a. a tenure and/or promotion decision, or				
	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

- b. a previous third-year review for associate professors (if a promotion to full professor 47 48 review is not taking place in the same year);, or following a sixth-year post-tenure review for full professors; 49 c. 50 (5) promotion-to-full-professor review for tenured faculty in their sixth year or later after receiving tenure; and (6) sixth-year post-tenure reviews for full professors tenured faculty in 51 52 their sixth year following a tenure and/or promotion to full decision or following a previous 53 sixth-year review. 54 55 56 **General Review Provisions** 57 Section 4. Accelerated Early Review. An accelerated early tenure review may occur in 58 particularly meritorious cases as determined by the Office of the Provost in consultation with the 59 60 appropriate dean, department, or unit head, and the bargaining unit faculty member. 61 62 Section 5. Notice of Meetings. A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three days' notice of any meeting or hearing, which the member is invited or required to attend with a 63 64 dean or the Provost or designee regarding recommendations or decisions on promotion or tenure. The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union representative present at the 65 meeting as an observer. 66 67 Section 6. Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit members have the right whether to 68 waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials (see Article 69 70 8, Personnel Files). The choice by the bargaining unit faculty member to waive or not waive access to evaluative materials shall not be considered during the evaluation process. Such 71 waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in an 72 73 appeal process (Article 21). The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of reviewers, who are informed about the faculty member's waiver choice. 74 75 Section 7. Stopping of the "Tenure, Promotion, and Review Clock." The "tenure, promotion, 76 and review clock" shall be stopped for one year in the following circumstances, unless the 77 bargaining unit faculty member specifies otherwise: 78 79 a. for one year upon the birth or adoption of a child; b. due to a leave of absence or intermittent leave with a duration of twelve weeks or longer 80 as a result of an ADA or FMLA qualifying event. No more than two extensions may be 81 granted under this subsection between any clocked review (i.e., between successive 82 83 PTRs). 84 85 The review clock may also be stopped in other extraordinary circumstances, including up to two years for approved leaves of absence without pay lasting two or more terms during each year of 86 87 the approved leave, as approved by the Office of the Provost. 88 89 If the faculty member opts to restore the period when the clock was stopped, they may apply for review at the time they would have become eligible without the stopping of the clock. Leaves not 90 resulting in a clock stoppage will be considered as a part of review periods. This Section applies 91
- 92 to mid-term, tenure, and promotion reviews, as well as third-year and sixth-year post-tenure
- 93 reviews. No more than two one-year clock extensions may be granted between reviews for

94	reasons a. and b. above (i.e., up to two one-year clock extensions may be granted between				
95	successive third-year reviews, with the second third-year review taking place in the fifth year).				
96					
97	Section 8. Relevant Information. Only significant information relevant to the review shall be				
98	included in a review file. Relevant information is information that relates to the review criteria as				
99	defined in this Agreement. Relevant information may include disciplinary action taken against				
100	the bargaining unit faculty member, if the underlying acts relate to or affect the faculty member's				
101	ability to meet the review criteria. Information not relevant to the review or information that				
102	contains allegations that have not been fully reviewed by the appropriate office (research				
103	misconduct, office of investigations and civil rights compliance, employee and labor relations,				
104	etc.) shall not be included in the file initially, although allegations that relate to relevant				
105	information may be included if they are sustained after an appropriate review.				
106					
107	[deletion moved to next section]Reviews shall consider any lack of resources necessary to the				
108	performance of professional responsibilities that were identified in previous workload				
109	discussions.				
110					
111	Section 9. Review Evaluations and Considerations Recommendations. Reviews should				
112	provide justifications for their conclusion based on the file, previous levels of review, and the				
113	unit-level policy. Reviews shall consider any lack of resources necessary to the performance of				
114	professional responsibilities that were identified in previous workload discussions (Article 17,				
115	Section 3)If, at any level of review, the evaluation or recommendation regarding the				
116	faculty member's progress toward tenure and promotion differs materially from any previous				
117	level of review, such evaluation must clearly justify each material difference and cite specific				
118	evidence from the file to support the differing evaluation.				
119					
120					
121	Section 10. Request for Clarification. If, within periods to provide responsive material or				
122	information for the evaluation file, the bargaining unit faculty member makes a good faith				
123	request for clarification or additional detail regarding the reasoning or evidentiary basis for				
124	the differing assessment of the faculty member's file, the evaluator will make a good faith				
125	effort to provide such additional detail or clarification within 14 days from the date of				
126	receiving such request. The bargaining unit faculty member will then have an additional 14				
127	days from receipt of the response to provide supplemental responsive material or information				
128	for the evaluation file.				
129					
130					
131	Pre-Tenure Reviews				
132					
133	Section 10. Annual Pre-Tenure Reviews. Each tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member				
134	who has not received tenure and is not in the process of a tenure review will have an annual				
135	review conducted by the department or unit head or designee. These annual reviews provide an				
136	opportunity to evaluate the tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member's performance and offer				
137	an opportunity to address problems and to support faculty members in their progress toward the				

- 138 mid-term and tenure reviews.
- 139
- 140

141 Mid-Term Reviews

142

Section 11. Purpose, Outcomes and Appeals. Mid-term reviews shall be an assessment of the
 bargaining unit faculty member's progress toward tenure and should assist the faculty member's
 development. The outcome of a mid-term review shall be either: [*inserted list formatting for clarity*]

- a. (1)-a contract until the end of the faculty member's tenure and promotion review year, which allows for the possibility of identifying any concerns that should be addressed prior to consideration for promotion and tenure, as well as providing constructive feedback and appropriate support identified in the review; or, ; (2) a one- or two-year contract specifying an additional mid-term review; or (3)
- b. a one-year, terminal contract in rare cases where the review determines the faculty 152 member will be unable to meet the unit-level criteria for tenure and promotion by the 153 time that the tenure decision would otherwise be expected is made and when there is 154 consensus across all levels of review. Only a review decision resulting in a terminal 155 contract may be appealed through the process specified in Article 21. If a two-year 156 contract is issued under (2) and the subsequent mid-term review is not successful, the 157 bargaining unit faculty member's employment will end with the expiration of the 158 contract. 159
- 160

161 Section 12. Timing. Each tenure-track bargaining unit faculty member who has not received 162 tenure will have a mid-term review approximately halfway between appointment and eligibility 163 for tenure, except those appointed with a tenure review date three years or less from the time of 164 their initial appointment (Article 16, Section 6). The timing of this review generally will be 165 established at the time of appointment, in that this review will usually take place during the last 166 year of the bargaining unit faculty member's initial contract. A successful review is one 167 prerequisite for contract renewal.

168

Section 13. Mid-Term Review Period. The review will include all research, teaching, and
 service accomplished since the beginning of the faculty member's employment in the current
 position in addition to other materials specified by the faculty member's hiring agreement
 (Article 16). Leaves are considered consistent with Section 7.

Section 14. Initiating the Mid-Term Review. To initiate the mid-term review process, the
department or unit head or designee will contact the bargaining unit faculty member during the
fall term of the year in which the review will take place and request the following:

177

173

Election of Criteria: The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed relative to the criteria in effect when their employment began. If the criteria have changed since the beginning of employment, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.

182
183
2. Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the faculty member's current research, scholarly and creative activities and accomplishments,

including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities. 185 186 3. Scholarship portfolio: A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research, and creative 187 activity during the review period; and appropriate evidence of national or international 188 recognition or impact. 189 190 4. Personal statement: A 3–6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit 191 faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates they are 192 on track to meet meeting evaluating their performance measured against the applicable 193 unit-level criteria for tenure and promotion. The personal statement should expressly 194 address the subjects of teaching; scholarship, research, and creative activity; service 195 contributions to the academic department, center or institute, school or college, 196 university, profession, and the community; and contributions to diversity, equity, and 197 inclusion. 198 199 5. Teaching portfolio: Representative examples of course syllabi or equivalent descriptions 200 201 of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught by the bargaining unit faculty member, examples of class assignments and exams, information from student 202 experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate, and similar 203 material. 204 205 6. Service portfolio: As applicable available, evidence of the bargaining unit faculty 206 member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school 207 or college, university, profession, and the community. Such evidence could include white 208 papers authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, op-ed 209 pieces, and/or letters of appreciation. The portfolio may also include a short statement on 210 the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations. 211 212 Section 15. Department or Unit Head's Role. The department or unit head will obtain and 213 place in the evaluation file copies of summary reports from the teaching student evaluation 214 process, including Student Experience Surveys. The file must also include recent peer 215 evaluations of the bargaining unit faculty member's teaching that is aligned with the university-216 wide teaching standards established by the University Senate. 217 218 Once the department or unit head has obtained all of the appropriate documents and information, 219 they will establish a committee of tenured faculty and provide the committee with access to the 220 documents and information. The department or unit head will then: 221 222 1. Obtain a report from the faculty committee including an assessment of the bargaining 223 unit faculty member's progress toward tenure and promotion; and 224 225 226 2. Prepare their own independent evaluation of the bargaining unit member's progress toward tenure and promotion that considers the entire file along with previous levels of 227 review, takes into account the committee report and departmental discussion, and is 228 consistent with Section 9: and 229 230 3. Provide the department or unit head's written report to the bargaining unit faculty 231

- member and allow the faculty member 10 days from the date of the receipt of the report
 to provide responsive material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation
 file; and
- 235

237

4. Submit the evaluation file to the appropriate dean.

If a department or unit has or develops a policy or practice of providing the report of the facultycommittee to the bargaining unit faculty member, the department or unit head shall do so.

240

Section 16. Dean's Role. The dean will review the file and may consult with appropriate persons 241 242 and may obtain and document additional relevant information. Once the dean deems the file complete, they will prepare a separate report and recommendation. The dean will share their 243 244 written report and recommendation with the bargaining unit faculty member and allow the faculty member 10 14-days from the date of receipt of the report to provide responsive material 245 or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file. The dean then will submit a 246 summary report including dean's recommendation, department head's recommendation, faculty 247 248 committee report, and faculty member's curriculum vitae, statement, and responsive material or information to the Provost or designee. 249

250

Section 17. Provost's Role. The Provost or designee will consider the cumulative recommendations received from department faculty, the department or unit head, and the dean, and then will decide the terms and duration of any subsequent appointment of the bargaining unit faculty member. Upon Provost review, the summary report will be placed in the faculty member's departmental or college personnel file and a decision conveyed in writing to the faculty member no later than June 1.

- 257
- 258

259 **Tenure Review Process**

260

Section 18. Eligibility for tenure review. Except as authorized in writing by the Provost or
 designee, a bargaining unit faculty member is entitled to a decision on tenure only after six
 consecutive academic or fiscal years of employment at or above the FTE at which they were
 hired. 1.0 FTE per year or the equivalent of consecutive part time employment at or above 0.5
 FTE per year. An appointment is considered consecutive even if interrupted by one or more
 approved leaves of absence. Leaves are considered consistent with Section 7.

Section 19. Tenure Review period. The tenure review will include all research, teaching, and
service accomplished since the beginning of the faculty member's employment in the current
position in addition to other materials specified by the faculty member's hiring agreement
(Article 16). Leaves of absence not resulting in a clock stoppage will be considered as part of the
review period.

272 273

Section 20. Initiating the Tenure Review Process. To initiate the tenure review process, the
department or unit head will contact the bargaining unit faculty member no later than winter term
of the year preceding the year in which a tenure decision is required and request the following:

1. Election of Criteria: The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed relative to the

criteria in effect during their last mid-term review. If the criteria have changed since the 279 last mid-term review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of 280 criteria. 281 282 2. Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the 283 faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and 284 accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar 285 activities and accomplishments. This document should clearly differentiate between 286 accomplishments that occurred during the review period and those that did not. 287 288 3. Scholarship portfolio: A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, research and creative 289 290 activity during the review period; and appropriate evidence of national or international recognition or impact. 291 292 4. **Personal statement:** A 3-6 page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit 293 faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates meeting 294 295 evaluating their performance measured against the applicable unit-level criteria for tenure and promotion. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching; 296 scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to the academic 297 department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the 298 community; and contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion. 299 300 301 5. Teaching portfolio: Representative examples of course syllabi or equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught by the bargaining unit 302 faculty member, examples of class assignments and exams, information from student 303 experience surveys, which will be considered in light of the response rate, and similar 304 material. 305 306 6. Service portfolio: As available, evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service 307 contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, 308 university, profession, and the community. Such evidence could include white papers 309 authored or co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, op-ed pieces, 310 and/or letters of appreciation. The portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating 311 on the faculty member's unique service experiences or obligations. 312 313 314 7. External reviewers: At the option of the bargaining unit faculty member, A a list of qualified outside reviewers provided by the bargaining unit faculty member. 315 316 All material in this Section, along with the following items, will be included in the Tenure 317 **Review File:** 318 319 8. Additional Information. Faculty members and/or the University may submit relevant 320 information during a review from the date information is initially submitted to their 321 department head through the date the Provost issues the final decision. Late submissions 322 of information may result in additional questions to the faculty member or to reviewers at 323 the previous levels. Additional information may include work completed during the 324 review year, if such information or material is included, it may not be included in the 325

review period of subsequent reviews. If detrimental information is added to their file, the bargaining unit faculty member will be notified and may add a response or request the file go back to their department or unit faculty personnel committee for review, which may result in a decision delay. The additional faculty personnel committee report must be submitted along with the new information for inclusion in the Tenure Review File.

- 331
- 332 333

334

9. **Mid-Term Review(s)**. The dean's summary report and the Provost's final decision from any mid-term reviews conducted will be included.

Section 21. Schedule for Review of Tenure and Promotion Files. The Office of the Provost 335 will establish a schedule for the compilation and review of tenure and promotion files. If the 336 bargaining unit faculty member fails to comply with the timeline established by the Provost for 337 submission of materials, the department or unit head will notify the faculty member of the 338 missed deadline by university email and the primary phone on record in the Banner system. If 339 the faculty member does not respond within 14 days, tenure may be denied. If the faculty 340 member responds within 14 days, the department or unit head will establish a new deadline for 341 submission of all materials. 342

343

The new deadline must allow the University adequate time to complete the tenure review process by June 1. If the faculty member misses the new deadline, tenure will be denied.

346

Section 22. External reviews. The department or unit head will prepare a list of qualified 347 external reviewers, with input from the department or unit faculty eligible to vote on a tenure and 348 promotion case. The department or unit head will select a majority of the external reviewers from 349 this independently prepared list, but the department or unit head's primary responsibility is to 350 obtain the best judgments from the most highly qualified experts in the appropriate areas. Most, 351 352 if not all, of the external reviewers should be at the rank for which the candidate is being considered or above (i.e., associate professor or professor for tenure and promotion to associate 353 354 professor; professor for promotion to professor). Reviewers generally should come from comparable AAU and research intensive institutions or programs. The suggestions regarding 355 affiliations apply to the majority of external reviewers and are not strict prohibitions. A 356 minimum of five substantive external evaluations is required for a tenure case to move forward. 357

358

The department or unit head will recruit external reviewers from the list prepared by the 359 360 department or unit head and the separate list provided by the bargaining unit faculty member. A absolute majority of external reviews included in the file must be provided by reviewers selected 361 by the department or unit and not included on the list of reviewers provided by the faculty 362 member under review. If there is overlap between the independently prepared lists, the external 363 reviewer counts as a unit selection. The department or unit head will provide each external 364 reviewer with the candidate's signed and dated curriculum vitae, signed and dated personal 365 366 statement, the candidate's scholarship portfolio, and the department's or unit's written criteria for promotion and tenure. External reviewers may not be asked to evaluate the candidate against the 367 standards of their own institution. 368

369

Section 23. Faculty Review. The eligible faculty in the candidate's department or unit, or a
 personnel committee comprised of a subset of the eligible faculty (if the department's or unit's
 internal policy specifies the creation of such a committee), will review the file and the external

reviews, prepare a report, and vote. In cases where there are too few eligible faculty members to form a personnel committee within the candidate's department or unit, the department or unit

- head will work with the appropriate dean to establish a committee including appropriate faculty
- members from outside the department. A final vote will be conducted by signed ballot, which
- may happen electronically, and the ballots will remain confidential to the extent permitted by
- 378 law. The department or unit head will inform the faculty member whether the vote was positive
- 379 or not. A de-identified vote tally, however, will be provided to the faculty member by the
- 380 department or unit head in the event of an appeal.
- 381

382 Section 24. Review by Department or Unit Head, College or School Personnel Committee,

and Dean. The department or unit head will prepare their own an independent report and
 recommendation that considers the entire file along with previous levels of review takes into

384 account the committee report and departmental discussion consistent with Section 9 based on

- their own judgment of the file, and then forward the entire file to the appropriate dean. The file
- then will be reviewed by a school- or college-level personnel committee appointed by a process
- determined by the dean. The committee will prepare their own an independent report consistent
- 389 with Section 9, and vote, and will forward the entire file to the dean. This step may be bypassed
- in schools or colleges whose deans choose not to convene a personnel committee. The dean will
- 391 then prepare their own an independent report and recommendation based on their own judgment
- 392 of the file and consistent with Section 9, and then meet with the candidate to discuss the case,
- review the recommendations made by the department committee, department or unit head, and
- the school or college-level personnel committee (if applicable), and the dean's own
 recommendation. The candidate will be provided with a copy of the dean's report that has been
- redacted in accordance with the waiver status to protect personally identifiable information. The
- candidate may provide responsive material for the file within 10 14 days of the meeting with the
- dean or the receipt of the redacted report, whichever is later. The dean will then forward theentire file to the Office of the Provost.
- 400

401 Section 25. Provost's Review of File. The Provost or designee will review the promotion and
402 tenure file for completeness, and general presentation, and may request additional information
403 from the dean. The file forwarded to the Provost or designee should include the contents listed in
404 Appendix 3: Tenure Review File Checklist.

405

Section 26. University Faculty Personnel Committee Review. After the Provost or designee
has reviewed the file and deemed it complete, the file is sent to the University Faculty Personnel
Committee (FPC). The committee will review the file, request additional information from the
Office of the Provost, or previous levels of review, if necessary, and then discuss and record a
vote to recommend that tenure and promotion is either granted or denied. The committee will
prepare a written summary of its discussion, which will include the outcome of the vote.

- 412
- 413 **Section 27. Provost's Decision.** The Provost has plenary authority to award or deny tenure. The 414 candidate will be notified in writing of the Provost's decision. The letter accompanying the
- 414 candidate will be notified in writing of the Provost's decision. The fetter accompanying the 415 decision will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying the Provost's decision, if the
- decision will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying the Provost's decision, if the decision is to deny tenure or promotion. A tenured appointment may not be less than 0.50 FTE.
- 417 If tenure is granted, the letter will include a statement indicating the FTE of the tenured
- 418 appointment. The letter will be placed in the candidate's personnel file. The foregoing does not
- 419 preclude a subsequent written agreement between the Provost or designee and the candidate

420	adjusting the FTE of the appointment, so long as the appointment is at least 0.50 FTE.				
421					
422	Successful candidates are granted tenure and assume their new classification and rank at the start				
423	of the next academic year, or sooner at the discretion of the Provost. Candidates who are denied				
424	tenure will receive a notice of appointment, which expires at the end of the academic or fiscal				
425	year following the one in which the application for tenure was submitted.				
426					
427	Section 28. Withdrawal of Application. A bargaining unit faculty member may withdraw an				
428	application for tenure in writing to the Provost and the dean at any time before the Provost's				
429	decision. Upon withdrawal, a bargaining unit faculty member will receive a notice of				
430	appointment which expires at the end of the academic or fiscal year following the one in which				
431	the application for tenure was submitted.				
432					
433					
434	Promotion to Full Professor Review				
435					
436	Section 29. Promotion from Associate Professor to Professor. The criteria for promotion to				
437	full professor are those outlined in the bargaining unit faculty member's unit-level policy. The				
438	process and timelines for review and evaluation for promotion from associate professor to				
439	professor are the same as those for promotion to associate professor and tenure, except:				
440					
441	1. There is no requirement to initiate the promotion process to professor.				
442					
443	2. Bargaining unit faculty members with tenure who are denied promotion from associate				
444	professor to professor will remain employed at the associate professor rank.				
445					
446	3. If the review criteria have changed during the six years prior to the review, the faculty				
447	member may elect either the earlier or current set of criteria.				
448	4. The next tensor reviews during the next end will be included in the				
449	4. The results of post-tenure reviews during the review period will be included in the				
450	promotion file.				
451 452	5 The review period for promotion reviews shall include all work accomplished since being				
452	5. The review period for promotion reviews shall include all work accomplished since being awarded tenure.				
453	awarded tenure.				
454	Post-Tenure Reviews				
455 456	rost-renure Keviews				
	Section 30 Tonured hargeining unit feaulty members at the real of according professor will have				
457 459	Section 30. Tenured bargaining unit faculty members at the rank of associate professor will have				
458 450	a third-year review in the third year following promotion and every three years thereafter until promotion to full professor. Tenured associate professors will not be required to complete a				
459 460	• • • •				
460	third-year review in a year when they are seeking a promotion to full professor. Following				

- 461 promotion, full professors will have alternating third-year reviews and major sixth-year post-
 - 462 tenure reviews. The primary function of post-tenure reviews is are to foster continued faculty
 463 professional growth and is are not a process to reevaluate the award of tenure. If a review is not
 - 464 successful, then a development plan may be established (Section 38 37). The post-tenure review
 - 465 process may not be used to shift the university's burden of proof in a proceeding to terminate a 466 tenured faculty member for cause.

467

Section 31. Third-Year Post-Tenure Reviews. Third-year post-tenure reviews will be informal 468 reviews unless a department head and dean agree, or the Office of the Provost determines, that a 469 formal review is necessary for the faculty member to meet expectations for a subsequent review. 470 **a.** Informal Third-Year Reviews. The informal third-year post-tenure review is conducted by 471 the appropriate department or unit head with the bargaining unit faculty member. Review 472 Informal review materials will typically consist of a curriculum vitae, a brief personal statement 473 (up to 3 pages) accounting for and explaining anything not clear from their CV, materials for the 474 evaluation of teaching (where applicable), and a sabbatical report (where applicable) (Section 475 33). As a result of the review, tThe department or unit head will prepare a concise statement that 476 includes an evaluation of whether the faculty member is exceeding, meeting, or not meeting 477 expectations under their unit level policy (or Section 39 38, as appropriate). The department head 478 will and share their statement it with the bargaining unit faculty member, who will have 10 14 479 business days to respond in writing. The review materials, head's statement, and faculty 480 member's response are then sent to the dean and then to the Office of the Provost for approval. 481 482 The head's statement and any response from the bargaining unit faculty member, dean, and Office of the Provost will be placed in the bargaining unit faculty member's personnel file. If in 483 484 the process of the informal review, the department or unit head and dean agree, or the Office of the Provost determines, that a formal review is necessary to meet expectations on a subsequent 485 review, they will initiate the Formal Review process below and notify the faculty member. If a 486 formal review is initiated, the statement and response will become part of the formal review 487 488 dossier. b. Formal Third-Year Reviews. This process applies to associate and full professors who undergo a formal third-year post-tenure review. The department or unit head will convene a 489 faculty personnel committee (if one does not already exist in the department or unit) that will 490 491 review a faculty member's work in relation to the unit-level post-tenure review criteria, or the 492 criteria in Section 38. If unit-level policies require a vote, the tenured department faculty with the same or higher rank, not including the candidate, will vote to endorse the committee's report and 493 494 recommendation. The department or unit head will write a separate report in light of the materials gathered and the faculty committee's report and, if required, the faculty vote. The 495 department or unit head will meet with the faculty member and will provide a copy of the head's 496 report and the redacted faculty committee's report. The faculty member will have 10 business 497 days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide responsive material or information, 498 which shall be included in the evaluation file. These will be reviewed by the dean and the Office 499 of the Provost. If the unit-level review criteria (or Section 39, as appropriate) were not met by the 500 faculty member and the recommendation is re is consensus between the head, dean, or and 501 Office of the Provost result of the review is to recommends to implement a development plan, 502 then the head and faculty member will develop one in consultation with the dean to be approved 503 by the Office of the Provost (Section $38 \frac{37}{37}$). 504 505 Section 32. Sixth-Year Review. Only full professors will have sixth-year post-tenure reviews, 506 which will be . Tenured bargaining unit faculty members will have a review in the sixth year 507 508 following promotion to full professor or six years after their previous-a-sixth-year post-tenure

- 509 review.
- 510

511 Section 33. Sixth-Year Review Period. The review period will include all work accomplished

512 during the previous six years, taking into account any leaves and resulting clock stoppages

513 (Section 7).

- 515 Section 34. Initiating the Sixth-Year Review. To initiate the review process, the department or 516 unit head or designee will contact the bargaining unit faculty member during the fall term of the 517 year in which the review will take place and request the following:
- 518

523

529

514

- Criteria: Criteria for sixth-year post-tenure reviews will be as specified in Section 39 38
 below unless the department or unit has approved post-tenure review criteria. If the
 review criteria have changed during the six years prior to the review, the faculty member
 may elect either the earlier or current set of criteria.
- 524
 2. Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations and similar activities. This document should clearly differentiate between accomplishments that occurred during the review period and those that did not.
- 3. Personal statement: A 3-6 page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit
 faculty member explaining how their provided material relates to demonstrates meeting
 or exceeding evaluating their performance measured against the applicable unit-level
 criteria for post-tenure review. The personal statement should expressly address the
 subjects of teaching; scholarship, research, and creative activity; service contributions to
 the academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and
 the community; and contributions to diversity, equity and inclusion.
- 538 4. Sabbatical report: A report of the accomplishments and benefits resulting from sabbatical, if applicable.
- 540

537

541 Section 35. Department or Unit Head's Role. The department or unit head or designee will 542 obtain and place in the evaluation file copies of summary reports drawn, as appropriate, from the 543 system of teaching student evaluation of teaching evaluation that was in effect prior to Fall 2019 544 and the Student Experience Surveys that were effective as of Fall 2019. The file must also 545 include a recent peer evaluation of the bargaining unit faculty member's teaching. Peer teaching 546 reviews should be aligned with the university-wide teaching standards as established by the 547 University Senate.

548

549 Once the department or unit head has obtained all of the appropriate documents and information, 550 they will establish a committee of full professors and provide the committee with access to the 551 documents and information. The faculty committee will prepare a report and a recommendation 552 regarding the outcome of the review. The report and recommendation will be reviewed by the 553 committee who will vote on the recommendation. The department or unit head or designee will 554 then: 555

- Obtain a report from the faculty committee including an assessment of the bargaining
 unit faculty member's performance, a recommendation regarding the outcome of the
 review, and the results of the faculty vote; and
- 559 560
- 2. Prepare their own independent an evaluation based on their own judgment of the

- bargaining unit faculty member's performance that considers the entire file along with 561 previous levels of review takes into account the committee report and departmental 562 discussion consistent with Section 9; and 563 564 3. Provide the department or unit head's report to the bargaining unit faculty member and 565 allow them 10 days from the date of the receipt of the report to provide responsive 566 material or information, which shall be included in the evaluation file; and 567 568 4. Submit the evaluation file to the appropriate dean. 569 570 571 If a department or unit has or develops a policy or practice of providing the report of the faculty committee to the bargaining unit faculty member, the department or unit head shall do so. 572 573 Section 36. Dean's Role. The dean will review the file and may consult with appropriate persons 574 and may obtain and document additional relevant information. Once the dean deems the file 575 576 complete, they will prepare a separate report and independent recommendation. The dean will share their written report and recommendation with the bargaining unit faculty member, redacted 577 as appropriate, and allow them 10 14-days from the date of receipt of the report to provide 578 579 responsive material and information, which shall be included in the evaluation file. The dean will then submit the complete evaluation file to the Office of the Provost. 580 581 Section 37. Provost's Role. The Provost or designee will consider the cumulative evaluations 582 received from the faculty committee, the department or unit head, and the dean. 583 584 [We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit 585 586 review provision] 587 588 If the Provost or designee concludes that the bargaining unit faculty member's performance meets or exceeds expectations in all areas of a sixth-year review, the bargaining unit faculty 589 member will receive an increase in their base salary per Article 26. 590 591 592 Section 38. Development Plans. If the Provost concludes that the bargaining unit faculty 593 member's performance does not meet expectations in one or more areas, the dean and the department or unit head shall consult with the bargaining unit faculty member and shall 594 recommend to the Provost a development plan for demonstrable improvement in the area(s) at 595 issue. The goal of the plan is to put the faculty member on track to meet expectations in that area 596 or areas at their subsequent review. The development plan should be implemented no later than 597 the first term of the academic year following the review. Development plans may require 598 599 adjustment of professional responsibilities (e.g., reduced service or teaching in order to support more research) and must specify a follow-up review timeline. 600 601 602 If a faculty member has a development plan, the criteria in the area(s) specified in the development plan will be in effect for those areas of the subsequent review. If the faculty 603 member does not meet expectations in the specified area(s), the Provost may reduce or reassign 604 605 the faculty member's FTE associated with the specified area(s) or may establish a new development plan or both. If the faculty member's FTE is adjusted, future reviews will reflect 606
- 607 the adjustment of duties.

- 608
 609 [We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit
 610 review provision]
- 611

A bargaining unit faculty member who has had their FTE or duties adjusted as the result of an
unsuccessful development plan may elect to have their standard workload restored if, on a

- subsequent post-tenure review, they meet or exceed expectations in the areas in which the faculty
- 615 member had previously been determined not to have met expectations. If the faculty member
- meets or exceeds expectations as outlined in the unit policies, they may, if they so choose,
 resume their standard workload the Fall following the year the post-tenure review was initiated.
- 618

619 Section 39. Post-Tenure Review Criteria. Review criteria for third- and sixth-year post-tenure 620 review are as follows, unless a department or unit has an approved unit-level policy establishing 621 their own post-tenure review criteria through the process specified in Article 4.

622

In cases where a tenured faculty member has a workload other than the standard tenure-track workload in the department or unit (e.g., with larger teaching and smaller research FTE, or vice versa) or is working under a development plan, the standard for meeting expectations in a thirdor sixth-year review will be established by these alternate arrangements and informed by the unit-level policy and the guidelines below. *[moved up from below]* Each of the three areas below should include contributions in teaching, research, and service that demonstrably promote diversity, equity, and inclusion.

630 631

632

639

640

641

642

645

646

647

648

649

650

- 1. **Teaching:** Teaching standards as established by the University Senate.
- Research, scholarship, creative, and artistic achievement: In general, research,
 scholarship, and creative achievement is demonstrated in the following categories. Each
 category applies in a given case only if that category is specified in the unit promotion
 and tenure policy. The standards of evaluation, unless otherwise specified by the unit
 policy, will be the standards established for promotion to full professor. Some categories
 to be considered include:
 - a. publications and/or creative activities of significance and;
 - b. externally funded research;
 - c. patents, intellectual property developed; technologies licensed, companies spunoff;
- 643d. adoptions of research innovations by other researchers, organizations, or the
public;
 - e. research awards and prizes;
 - f. membership in the national academies or other selective research societies;
 - g. research in progress and substantially planned work (including grant proposals);
 - h. translational research or scholarship that influences public policy or contributes to societal benefits;
 - i. participation in conferences, conventions, seminars, and professional meetings;
- j. professional peer review, holding office in academic and professional
 organizations, serving on committees and/or on editorial boards;
- k. association with organizations and groups that will result in professional
 improvement of the faculty member and bring recognition to the university;

655	1	leral agencies, foundations, or other
656	1 /	
657		
658		
659	1 1 1	in public lectures, book reviews, and,
660	1	
661		
662	2 poetry, fiction, drama, dance, photography,	and film disseminated or exhibited in
663	B recognized venues of quality and distinction	n;
664	p. public performances: musical recitals, conc	erts, conducting, theater performance
665	and production, dance performance and pro	duction, radio or television production
666	5 disseminated/exhibited in recognized venue	es of quality and distinction;
667	q. public recognition: exhibitions, commission	ns, acceptance of work for permanent
668	3 collections, awards.	
669		
670	3. Service: Consistent with promotion to full professo	or as specified in the collective
671	bargaining agreement, senior faculty are expected t	to engage in significant service
672	demonstrating leadership and commitment both wi	thin and outside the candidate's
673	department or unit. Service must include some of the	he following:
674	a. leadership in academic and administrative r	oles:
675	i. academic program area or departme	ntal administration and curriculum;
676	ii. personnel and policy committees or	activities;
677	iii. college or school administration and	l committees or activities;
678	iv. university or state system administra	ation and committees or activities.
679	b. service and activities on behalf of the larger	r community (local, state, national, and
680	international governmental bodies, NGOs, o	etc.);
681	c. academic contributions to community activ	ities, either as an individual or as a
682	representative of the university;	
683	d. service to professional and disciplinary orga	anizations;
684	e. academic service on behalf of the public int	terest.
685	5	
686		ch, and service that demonstrably
687	promote diversity, equity, and inclusion.	